Effect of Fermented Bilberry Extracts on Visual Outcomes in Eyes with Myopia: A Prospective, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study

Kazutaka Kamiya, Hidenaga Kobashi, Kazuko Fujiwara, Wakako Ando, and Kimiya Shimizu

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate clinically the effects of yeast-fermented bilberry extract on visual outcomes in myopic eyes.

Methods: In a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over study, we examined 30 eyes of 30 middleaged healthy volunteers (mean age±standard deviation, 39.5 ± 7.2 years) with myopia [manifest spherical equivalent, -2.40 ± 1.88 diopters (D)], who were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 oral regimens: fermented bilberry extract (400 mg/day) or placebo. We quantitatively assessed visual acuity, refraction, pupil constriction rate, accommodation, and mesopic contrast sensitivity (CS), before and 1 month after treatment. Only the right eyes were tested. The amplitude of accommodation and CS were measured with an accommodometer (D'ACOMO; WOC) and a CS unit (VCTS-6500; Vistech), respectively. From the CS, the area under the log contrast sensitivity function (AULCSF) was calculated.

Results: The mean amplitude of accommodation increased significantly, from 4.62 ± 1.88 D before treatment, to 5.33 ± 2.03 D after treatment in the study group (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, P = 0.002). Moreover, the mesopic AULCSF was significantly increased, from 1.04 ± 0.16 before, to 1.13 ± 0.17 after, treatment (P = 0.009). However, we found no significant changes in accommodation or AULCSF in the control group (P > 0.05), or any significant changes in any other parameters in either group (P > 0.05).

Conclusions: The present data show that fermented bilberry extract is effective in causing increases in subjective accommodation and in mesopic CS in myopic eyes.

Introduction

THE NOTION THAT bilberry can be used to enhance night L vision arose from anecdotal reports of the British Royal Air Force in World War 2 about eating bilberry jam to improve night vision.¹ There is continuing controversy about the effect of treatment with anthocyanin on mesopic visual function. Several early clinical studies reported an improvement in nocturnal vision after taking anthocyanins in normal individuals.²⁻⁶ Lee et al. reported that the administration of anthocyanoside oligomer appears to improve subjective symptoms and objective contrast sensitivity (CS) in myopia subjects with asthenopia.7 On the other hand, many later placebo-controlled studies reported no significant improvement in night vision after taking anthocyanins given in single or multiple oral doses,^{8–10} except for one study reporting an improvement in night vision.⁷ These conflicting conclusions may be caused by the differences in study design regarding materials, doses, durations, methods for evaluating night vision, and types of subjects (age and refraction). Recently, it has been demonstrated that fermented bilberry extract exerts more antiatherogenic activity *in vivo* than unfermented bilberry extract in mice.¹¹ However, to our knowledge, the effects of fermented bilberry extract on visual outcomes, including subjective accommodation and mesopic CS, have not so far been investigated. The purpose of the current study is to prospectively assess the clinical effects of fermented bilberry extracts, which was commercially available in Japan, on visual outcomes in myopia subjects.

Methods

Thirty eyes of 30 healthy volunteers (20 men and 10 women) who had no ophthalmic disease other than myopic refractive errors were enrolled in this prospective study. The sample size in this study offered 82% statistical power at the 5% level in order to detect a 0.7-D difference in accommodation between the 2 groups, when the standard deviation

Department of Ophthalmology, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan.

(SD) of the mean difference was 1.3D. It offered 84% statistical power at the 5% level in order to detect a 0.10 difference in the area under the log contrast sensitivity function (AULCSF) between the 2 groups, when the SD of the mean difference was 0.18. The age of the volunteers was 39.5 ± 7.2 years (mean age ± SD; range, 31 to 53 years old). The manifest refraction (spherical equivalent) was -2.40 ± 1.88 diopters (D) (range: -0.50 to -5.63 D). Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers in accordance with institutional guidelines, according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The study was conducted in a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, 2-period cross-over fashion. This study was registered in the UMIN Clinical Trial Registry (UMIN 000007741). The subjects were randomly assigned to 1 of the 2 regimens: oral administration of fermented bilberry extracts (Fermented Blueberry, Ajinomoto, Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan), as the study group, and oral placebo, as the control group, by a designated study controller. Fermented bilberry extract is a polyphenol-rich fraction obtained from bilberry fermentation with wine yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and purified by precipitation with hot alcohol.¹⁰ The subjects took either fermented bilberry extract or a placebo, twice a day for 4 weeks in a blind manner. The active capsules contained 200 mg of fermented bilberry extract, and the placebo capsule contained only inactive ingredients (rapeseed oil). The active and placebo capsules were identical in appearance. After the first 4-week treatment, a 4-week washout period was employed to allow any effect of these treatments on visual performance to dissipate. In the second 4-week treatment period, the subjects who first received active capsules were then given placebo, and the subjects who first received placebo were given active capsules. The participants and investigators were blinded to group assignment. Before and after each 4-week treatment, we determined the following variables: logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution (logMAR) of uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), logMAR of best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA), subjective and objective refraction (spherical equivalent), pupil constriction rate, subjective accommodation, and mesopic CS, in addition to the usual slit-lamp biomicroscopic and funduscopic examinations. Only the right eyes were tested, and blood and urine drug levels were not evaluated in this study.

Objective refraction was measured with an autorefractometer (RK-5; Canon, Tokyo, Japan). The near point of accommodation was evaluated with an accommodometer (D'ACOMO; WOC, Kyoto, Japan) with a constant stimulus speed during binocular viewing using polarizing filters. A spherical lens of +2 or +3 D was added to the distance correction only for the spherical component, and the patient was required to read a cross-shaped target that corresponded in size to a visual acuity of 20/20 at a distance of 30 cm. The chart was slowly brought closer, until the patient reported blurring of the image. The target was then moved back until it became clear. The distance in diopters at which blurring and refocusing occurred was recorded as the near point of accommodation. The amount of accommodation was calculated from the far and near points. The rate of pupil constriction was measured with a compact integrated pupillograph (TriIRIS, Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan) after 5 min of dark adaptation. CS function was measured with a CS unit (VCTS-6500; Vistech, Dayton, OH) under mesopic conditions (50 lux). The test was performed with best spectacle correction at 2.5 m. From the CS, the AULCSF was determined as previously described.¹² In brief, the log of CS was plotted as a function of log spatial frequency, and third-order polynomials were fitted to the data. The fitted function was integrated between the fixed limits of log spatial frequencies of 0.18 (corresponding to 1.5 cycles/degree) and 1.26 (corresponding to 18 cycles/degree), and the resultant value was defined as the AULCSF. We performed at least 3 measurements for each eye, and the average value was used for statistical analysis. All examinations were performed by one experienced ophthalmic technician.

All statistical analyses were performed using StatView version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for statistical analysis to compare the pre- and post-treated data. The results are expressed as mean \pm SD, and a value of *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The demographics of the study population before treatment are summarized in Table 1. Before treatment, there was no significant difference in LogMAR UCVA (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, P=0.65), LogMAR BSCVA (P=1.00), subjective refraction (P=0.46), objective refraction (P=0.31), pupil constriction rate (P = 0.50), accommodation (P = 0.61), or mesopic AULSCF (P=0.23), between the 2 groups. The amplitude of accommodation in the study group was significantly increased from 4.62 ± 1.88 D (range, 1.28 to 7.51 D) before treatment to 5.33 ± 2.03 D (range, 1.38 to 8.21 D) after treatment (P = 0.002). On the other hand, after treatment in the control group, it was not significantly different, from 4.64 ± 2.03 D (range, 1.08 to 9.13 D) before treatment, to 4.67 ± 2.06 D (range, 0.96 to 9.43 D) (P=0.52) (Fig. 1). The AULCSF was significantly increased, from 1.04 ± 0.16 (range, 0.70 to 1.40) before treatment to 1.13 ± 0.17 (range, 0.77 to 1.45) after treatment in the study group (P=0.009). There was also a significant increase in CS at 2 of 5 spatial frequencies (at 3 and 6 cycles/degree) after treatment in the study group (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the AULCSF was

TABLE 1. PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE STUDY POPULATION BEFORE TREATMENT

	Fermented bilberry extracts-treated group	Placebo-treated group	P value
LogMAR UCVA LogMAR BSCVA Subjective	0.63 ± 0.54 -0.08 ± 0.00 -2.40 ± 1.88 D	0.65 ± 0.50 -0.08 ± 0.00 -2.46 ± 1.88 D	0.65 1.00 0.46
Objective refraction (D)	-3.09±2.13 D	-3.17±2.01 D	0.31
Pupil constriction rate (%)	34.1%±7.6%	36.0%±8.5%	0.50
Accommodation (D) Mesopic AULCSF	4.62±1.88 D 1.04±0.16	4.64±2.03 D 1.08±0.11	0.61 0.23

LogMAR, logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution; UCVA, uncorrected visual acuity; BSCVA, best spectacle-corrected visual acuity; D, diopters; AULCSF, area under the log contrast sensitivity function.



FIG. 1. There was a significant improvement of accommodation after fermented bilberry extracts treatment (P = 0.002, Wilcoxon signed-rank test), but no significant change after placebo treatment (P=0.52). D, diopters.

not significantly changed, from 1.08 ± 0.11 (range, 0.92 to 1.32) before treatment, to 1.09 ± 0.11 (range, 0.85 to 1.35) after treatment in the control group (P=0.67). There was no significant change in CS at any spatial frequencies after treatment in the control group (Fig. 3). Otherwise, we found no significant differences in LogMAR UCVA (P=0.87), LogMAR BSCVA (P=1.00), subjective refraction (P=0.48), objective refraction (P=0.78), or pupil constriction rate (P=0.55) as a result of treatment in the study group. Similarly, we found no significant differences due to treatment in LogMAR UCVA (P=0.97), LogMAR BSCVA (P=1.00), subjective refraction (P=0.40), objective refraction (P=0.20), or pupil constriction rate (P=0.40), objective refraction (P=0.20), or pupil constriction rate (P=0.61) in the control group.

Discussion

In the present study, our results demonstrated that fermented bilberry extracts significantly improve the amplitude of accommodation and mesopic CS in eyes with myopia. To



FIG. 2. There was a significant improvement of the area under the log contrast sensitivity function under mesopic conditions after fermented bilberry extracts treatment (P = 0.009, Wilcoxon signed-rank test).



FIG. 3. There was no significant change in the area under the log contrast sensitivity function under mesopic conditions after placebo treatment (P=0.67, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). N.S., no significance.

the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates the effects of yeast-fermented bilberry extract on visual function in myopic subjects. It has been shown that anthocyanosides accelerate the resynthesis of rhodopsin,^{13,14} and modulate retinal enzymatic activity.¹⁵ In the present study, we used fermented bilberry extracts for middle-aged myopic subjects (age, 39.5 ± 7.2 years, refraction, -2.40 ± 1.88 D) after oral administration for 4 weeks. The differences in study design regarding materials, doses, durations, methods for evaluating night vision, and types of subjects (age and refraction) may have contributed to the discrepancy. Especially regarding subject age, no placebo-controlled clinical studies for a middle-aged population, who tended to have difficulty in accommodation and nocturnal vision, and to use these nutritional supplements, has been conducted so far, suggesting its importance in clinical use for these subjects.

With regard to the amplitude of accommodation, as far as we can ascertain, this is also the first study that investigates the subjective accommodation after bilberry extract treatment in a clinical setting. Nakanishi et al.¹⁶ reported that the oral intake of anthocyanosides brought about a reduction of the dark adaptation threshold and promoted recovery from transient refractive alternation induced by visual display terminal work, as well as subjective symptoms of visual fatigue in healthy subjects. The authors speculate that accelerated resynthesis of rhodopsin, modulation of retinal enzyme activity, and improved microcirculation may play a role in the improvement of visual function in the present study. However, the active compounds responsible for improved accommodation and mesopic CS were not identified in this study. Mauray et al. demonstrated that fermented bilberry extract exerts more effective antiatherogenic activity in vivo than the bilberry extract, suggesting that fermentation generates some new compounds with improved healthpromoting properties as compared with the bilberry extract.¹¹ It is known that extracts from other fermented soybean foods prevented the progression of atherosclerosis, improved oxidative stress markers, and inhibited intimal thickening.^{17,18} Other compounds produced by a fermentation process, such as organic acids observed in wine, may contribute to these beneficial effects. Hence, although the

EFFECT OF FERMENTED BILBERRY EXTRACTS ON VISION

exact reason for the improvement of visual function still remains unclear, the process of fermentation may have also added to the positive effects of this supplement in the current study. Nevertheless, we did not directly compare the effect of natural and fermented bilberry extracts on visual outcomes in this study, and thus, further studies are necessary to confirm the effect of fermentation alone.

There are at least 2 limitations to the present study. First, the sample data are comparatively limited, and, thus, offered 82% to 84% statistical power at the 5% level; so, a larger number of subjects are necessary for confirming the authenticity of the results. Second, we did not quantify the individual anthocyanin glycosides in the fermented bilberry extracts by high-performance liquid chromatography. However, it has already been demonstrated that the bilberry extract used in this study contains 15 different anthocyanins, derived from 5 aglycones (cyanidin, delphinidin, malvidin, peonidin, and petunidin); whereas almost no native anthocyanins were detected in fermented bilberry extracts.¹¹ In addition, high-performance liquid chromatography demonstrated that fermented bilberry extracts largely retained on the column show a large peak with a maximum wavelength absorption similar to those obtained with native anthocyanins (508 nm), indicating that the anthocyanins in fermented bilberry extracts are largely condensed into complex polymers. Further studies are required to identify the compounds responsible for these effects and to clarify the possible mechanism underlying such effects.

In conclusion, our study revealed that yeast-fermented bilberry extracts were effective for the improvement of subjective accommodation or mesopic CS in myopic eyes, although no possible mechanism of this effect could be offered. In the authors' experience, fermented bilberry extracts appear to be a good treatment option for middle-aged subjects, who tend to have difficulty in accommodation and nocturnal vision, and to use these nutritional supplements often in daily life. Further studies are necessary for the clarification of these aspects.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to C.W.P. Reynolds for his careful linguistic assistance with this article.

Author Disclosure Statement

Dr. Kamiya is a consultant to Ajinomoto Co., Inc. The remaining authors have no commercial or propriety interest in the product or company described in the current article.

References

- 1. McCaleb, R. Bilberry: health from head to toe. *Better Nutr. Today's Living*. 29–31, 1991.
- Jayle, G.E., and Aubert, L. [Action of anthocyanin glycosides on the scotopic and mesopic vision of the normal subject]. *Therapie.* 19:171–185, 1964. [French].
- Fiorini, G., Biancacci, A., and Graziano, F.M. [Perimetric and adaptometric modifications after ingestion of myrtillin associated with betacarotene]. *Ann. Ottalmol. Clin. Ocul.* 91:371–386, 1965. [Italian].
- Jayle, G.E., Aubry, M., Gavini, H., Braccini, G., and De la Baume, C. [Study concerning the action of anthocyanoside extracts of Vaccinium Myrtillus on night vision]. *Ann. Ocul* (*Paris*). 198:556–562, 1965. [French].

- Rouher, F., Sole, P., Offret, G., and Bernard, J.A. [Demonstration by adaptoelectroretinography of the action of a cyanidin on nocturnal vision]. *Arch. Ophtalmol. Rev. Gen. Ophtalmol.* 32:531–540, 1972. [French].
- Solé, P., Rigal, D., and Peyresblanques, J. [Effects of cyaninoside chloride and Heleniene on mesopic and scotopic vision in myopia and night blindness]. *J. Fr. Ophtalmol.* 7: 35–39, 1984. [French].
- Lee, J., Lee, H.K., Kim, C.Y., et al. Purified high-dose anthocyanoside oligomer administration improves nocturnal vision and clinical symptoms in myopia subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* 93:895–899, 2005.
- 8. Levy, Y., and Glovinsky, Y. The effect of anthocyanosides on night vision. *Eye (Lond)*. 12:967–969, 1998.
- 9. Zadok, D., Levy, Y., and Glovinsky, Y. The effect of anthocyanosides in a multiple oral dose on night vision. *Eye* (*Lond*). 13:734–736, 1999.
- Muth, E.R., Laurent, J.M., and Jasper, P. The effect of bilberry nutritional supplementation on night visual acuity and contrast sensitivity. *Altern. Med. Rev.* 5:164–173, 2000.
- Mauray, A., Milenkovic, D., Besson, C., et al. Atheroprotective effects of bilberry extracts in apo E-deficient mice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57:11106–11111, 2009.
- Applegate, R.A., Howland, H.C., Sharp, R.P., Cottingham, A.J., and Yee, R.W. Corneal aberrations and visual performance after radial keratotomy. *J. Refract. Surg.* 14:397–407, 1998.
- Tronche, P., Bastide, P., and Komor, J. [Effects of anthocyanin glycosides on the kinetics of regeneration of retinal purple in rabbits]. *C. R. Seances Soc. Biol. Fil.* 161:2473–2475, 1967. [French].
- Matsumoto, H., Nakamura, Y., Tachibanaki, S., Kawamura, S., and Hirayama, M. Stimulatory effect of cyanidin 3glycosides on the regeneration of rhodopsin. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51:3560–3563, 2003.
- Virmaux, N., Bizec, J.C., Nullans, G., Ehret, S., and Mandel, P. Modulation of rod cyclic GMP-phosphodiesterase activity by anthocyanidin derivatives. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 18:686– 687, 1990.
- Nakanishi, H., Matsumoto, H., Tominaga, S., and Hirayama, M. Effects of black current anthocyanoside intake on dark adaptation and VDT work-induced transient refractive alteration in healthy humans. *Altern. Med. Rev.* 5:553–562, 2000.
- Iwai, K., Nakaya, N., Kawasaki, Y., and Matsue, H. Antioxidative functions of natto, a kind of fermented soybeans: effect on LDL oxidation and lipid metabolism in cholesterolfed rats. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50:3597–3601, 2002.
- Yamakoshi, J., Piskula, M.K., et al. Isoflavone aglycone-rich extract without soy protein attenuates atherosclerosis development in cholesterol-fed rabbits. *J. Nutr.* 130:1887–1893, 2000.

Received: May 19, 2012 Accepted: September 25, 2012

Address correspondence to: Kazutaka Kamiya, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Ophthalmology Kitasato University School of Medicine 1-15-1 Kitasato Minami Sagamihara Kanagawa 252-0374 Japan

359

E-mail: kamiyak-tky@umin.ac.jp

Copyright of Journal of Ocular Pharmacology & Therapeutics is the property of Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.