

A Prospective Study of Relative Telomere Length and Postmenopausal Breast Cancer Risk

Immaculata De Vivo,^{1,2,3} Jennifer Prescott,^{1,2,3} Jason Y.Y. Wong,^{1,2,3} Peter Kraft,^{1,2,3} Susan E. Hankinson,^{2,3} and David J. Hunter^{1,2,3}

¹Program in Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, ²Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, and ³Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

Abstract

During breast cancer progression, a substantial increase in chromosomal aberrations is observed in the transition from ductal hyperplasia to carcinoma *in situ*. Telomeres are essential structures to chromosomal integrity. Consequently, telomere dysfunction, which leads to genomic instability, is hypothesized to play a causal role in the progression of breast cancer. However, the few epidemiologic studies that have assessed the relationship between telomere length and breast cancer risk have been inconsistent. We used quantitative real-time PCR to measure relative telomere length in genomic DNA extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes and examined its association with postmenopausal breast cancer risk in 1,122 invasive breast cancer cases and 1,147 matched controls free of diagnosed cancer nested within the prospective Nurses'

Health Study. Our data show that relative telomere length was not associated with a significant elevation in postmenopausal breast cancer risk [below versus above median; odds ratio, 1.23; 95% confidence interval, 0.94-1.60; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.20$]. Estrone and estradiol hormone levels were significantly inversely associated with relative telomere length ($P = 0.02$). Other established breast cancer risk factors such as family history of breast cancer and history of benign breast disease were not associated with relative telomere length in separate linear regression models each adjusted for age and disease status ($P \geq 0.07$). Our results provide little support for an important role of telomere length, as measured in peripheral blood leukocytes, as a biomarker of breast cancer risk. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18(4):1152-6)

Introduction

Telomeres are long hexameric (TTAGGG)_n repeats located at the distal ends of linear eukaryotic chromosomes. Recent studies have shown the critical role of telomeres in maintaining the structural integrity of chromosomes by preventing nucleolytic decay, chromosomal end-to-end fusion, and atypical recombination (1). Due to limitations in lagging strand DNA synthesis at chromosomal ends, human telomeres shorten by ~50 to 100 bp per mitotic division (2). Germline tissues express telomerase, which appends chromosome ends with hexameric repeats to restore telomere length. Most adult somatic tissues do not express telomerase resulting in the progressive loss of telomeric DNA with age. Therefore, telomeres are analogous to a "molecular clock" reflecting the number of divisions a cell has undergone (3).

When telomeres shorten to a critical length, a cell cycle checkpoint is triggered, proliferation is blocked, and the cell enters replicative senescence. However, if the Rb and p53 signaling pathways have been inactivated, cell division continues, resulting in further telomere shortening with a concurrent increase in genomic instability. Eventually, the dividing cell reaches crisis, a second

proliferation block characterized by gross chromosomal aberrations. The vast majority of these cells undergo apoptosis. However, a rare cell may escape through the reactivation of telomerase, which at this stage is thought to facilitate tumor initiation and progression. Reactivation of telomerase is detected in >90% of human tumors, making it one of the most common abnormalities in cancer cells (1). Progressively shorter telomeres, as measured in peripheral blood leukocytes, have been associated with increased risk of head and neck, lung, renal cell, and bladder cancers (4-6), suggesting telomere length may be a useful biomarker of cancer risk.

An estimated 1.3 million women worldwide were diagnosed with breast cancer in 2007, making it the most common cancer in women, and age is the most important risk factor (7). Patterns of telomere length, telomerase activity, genomic instability, and chromosomal aberrations observed throughout progressive stages of breast cancer implicate telomere crisis as a crucial tumor promoting event. This most likely occurs during the transition from usual ductal hyperplasia to carcinoma *in situ* (8). Few studies have investigated the association of telomere length with breast cancer risk and have found inconsistent results. A case-control study of high-risk sister sets ($n = 287$ cases) found an elevation in breast cancer risk predominantly among premenopausal women with short telomeres (9), whereas another study ($n = 265$ cases) found a significant increased breast cancer risk and decreased survival among a mix of premenopausal and postmenopausal women with longer telomeres (10). A third study found no association among

Received 10/22/08; revised 12/18/08; accepted 2/2/09; published OnlineFirst 3/17/09.

Grant support: NIH grants: CA49449 (S.E. Hankinson), CA87969 (S.E. Hankinson), CA082838 (I. De Vivo), CA132190 (I. De Vivo), and CA065725 (D.J. Hunter). J. Prescott was supported by NIH training grant T32 CA 09001.

Requests for reprints: Immaculata De Vivo, Brigham and Women's Hospital/ Harvard Medical School, 181 Longwood Avenue, 3rd floor, Boston, MA 02115. Phone: 617-525-2094; Fax: 617-525-2008. E-mail: devivo@channing.harvard.edu

Copyright © 2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0998

participants from either the Twins UK registry ($n = 72$ treated cases) or a breast cancer screening population ($n = 140$ untreated cases; ref. 11). To contribute to this unresolved question, we investigated the association between relative telomere length (RTL) and postmenopausal breast cancer risk in this study of 1,122 cases and 1,147 matched controls nested within the Nurses' Health Study.

Materials and Methods

Study Population. The Nurses' Health Study is a prospective cohort study of 121,700 female registered nurses in 11 states in the United States who were ages 30 to 55 y at enrollment. In 1976 and biennially thereafter, self-administered questionnaires were used to gather detailed information on life-style factors, menstrual and reproductive factors, and medical history. During 1989 to 1990, blood samples were collected from 32,826 women forming a subcohort from which cases and controls were selected. Eligible cases consisted of postmenopausal women with pathologically confirmed incident invasive breast cancer diagnosed anytime after blood collection up to June 1, 2004, with no prior diagnosis of cancer. Controls were randomly selected postmenopausal women free of cancer up to and including the questionnaire cycle in which the case was diagnosed. Controls were matched to cases according to age at diagnosis, blood collection variables [time of day, season, and year of blood collection, as well as recent (<3 mo) use of postmenopausal hormones], and ethnicity (all cases and controls are self-reported Caucasians). Completion of the self-administered questionnaire and submission of the blood sample was considered to imply informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Committee on Use of Human Subjects of the Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA.

Exposure Data. Information on age at menarche, height, and age at first birth were obtained in 1976. Parity was collected biennially from 1976 to 1984. Weight at age 18 y was queried in 1980. A history of breast cancer in a mother and/or sister was assessed in 1976, 1982, and every 4 y since 1988. Menopausal status, age at menopause, postmenopausal hormone use, weight, smoking information, and diagnosis of benign breast disease were assessed at baseline and biennially thereafter. For each questionnaire, women were asked whether their menstrual periods have ceased perma-

nently, at what age, and for what reason (natural or surgical). Participants were defined as postmenopausal if they reported having a natural menopause or bilateral oophorectomy. Women who reported a hysterectomy with either one or both ovaries remaining were defined as postmenopausal when they were ages 56 y (if a nonsmoker) or 54 y (if a current smoker), ages at which natural menopause had occurred in 90% of the respective cohorts. Age at menopause in the Nurses' Health Study is reported with a high degree of reproducibility and accuracy (12). Height and weight were used to calculate body mass index (BMI, kg/m²). Number of cigarettes per day was reported in categories of usage (1-4, 5-14, 15-24, 25-34, 35-44, and 45 or more cigarettes per day). Smoking duration in years multiplied by packs of cigarettes smoked per day (20 cigarettes per pack) was used to calculate pack-years of smoking. All time-varying covariates were assessed in the questionnaire cycle before blood collection.

RTL. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using the QIAmp (Qiagen) 96-spin blood protocol. PicoGreen DNA quantitation was done using a Molecular Devices 96-well spectrophotometer. Genomic DNA was subsequently dried down and resuspended to ensure accurate and uniform DNA concentrations. The ratio of telomere repeat copy number to a single gene copy number (T/S) was determined by a previously described modified version (6) of the quantitative real-time PCR telomere assay (13). This PCR-based assay uses a high-throughput 384-well format of the Applied Biosystems 7900HT PCR System. Briefly, 5 ng of peripheral blood leukocytes-derived genomic DNA were dried down in a 384-well plate and resuspended in 10 μ L of either the telomere or 36B4 (single copy gene) PCR reaction mixture. Triplicate reactions of each assay were done on each sample. RTL is reported as the exponentiated T/S ratio. Coefficients of variation of the telomere and single-gene assay were 1.03% and 0.56%, respectively. The coefficients of variation for the exponentiated T/S ratio of quality control samples was 16.3%. After excluding extreme (2 cases, 1 control) and missing RTL (24 cases, 13 controls) values, our nested case-control study consisted of 1,122 postmenopausal invasive breast cancer cases and 1,147 matched controls.

Hormone Measurements. Hormone assays were conducted from 1992 to 2003 in up to 6 batches. Assay methods have been described in detail elsewhere (14, 15).

Table 1. Select population characteristics of breast cancer cases and controls from the Nurses' Health Study

Variable	Cases, $n = 1,122$	Controls, $n = 1,147$	P
Age at blood draw*, mean (SD)	58.4 (6.5)	58.4 (6.4)	0.98
Age at diagnosis*, mean (SD)	65.7 (6.7)	65.6 (6.7)	0.78
RTL, mean (SD)	17.8 (6.6)	17.9 (7.0)	0.90
RTL [†] , median	16.7	16.9	0.64
Pack-years of smoking at blood draw [‡] , mean (SD)	24.0 (20.9)	21.9 (18.1)	0.07
BMI at blood draw, mean (SD)	25.5 (4.6)	25.4 (4.6)	0.58
Family history of breast cancer [§] (%)	24.2	17.7	<0.01

*Age was a matching factor.

[†] P value obtained using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test on 1,105 case-control pairs.

[‡] Among cigarette smokers only.

[§] P value obtained by χ^2 test.

Table 2. Age-standardized characteristics by RTL quartiles among all subjects

Characteristic	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4	P
Age (mean)	59.2	58.7	58.0	57.7	<0.01
Packyears (ever smokers)	24.3	22.2	22.0	23.4	0.27
Packyears (past smokers)	19.3	16.2	16.9	17.0	0.09
Cigarettes/d (ever smokers)*	2.78	2.78	2.72	2.67	0.21
Cigarettes/d (past smokers)*	2.78	2.71	2.64	2.59	0.12
PMH duration (y)	4.28	3.63	4.03	3.51	0.07
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.3	25.6	25.3	25.5	0.33
Weight gain since age 18 y (kg)	11.3	11.4	11.2	11.5	0.49
Age at menarche (y)	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.6	0.57
Age at first birth (y)	25.1	25.4	25.3	25.3	0.40
Parity	3.10	2.99	3.02	3.02	0.59
Age at menopause (y)	49.7	49.9	49.6	49.6	0.37
Family history of breast cancer (%)	20	18	23	22	0.17
History of benign breast disease (%)	60	58	58	58	0.85
Estrone (pg/mL)	27.4	27.7	27.1	24.3	0.02
Estradiol (pg/mL)	7.47	7.75	6.72	6.76	0.02
Estrone sulfate (pg/mL)	241	237	232	210	0.09

Abbreviation: PMH, postmenopausal hormone.

*Cigarettes/d were recorded in categories of 1:1-4, 2:5-14, 3:15-24, 4:25-34, 5:35-44, 6: ≥45 cigarettes/d.

Hormone levels were available on 499 women for estrone (250 cases, 249 controls), 636 women for estradiol (315 cases, 321 controls), and 624 women for estrone sulfate (311 cases, 313 controls).

Statistical Analyses. We used a *t* test to compare differences in continuous variables by disease status and a χ^2 test to compare the proportion of a first-degree family history of breast cancer between the groups (Table 1). The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to analyze RTL by disease status. In subsequent analyses, we used the control-specific distribution to categorize RTL by median or quartile values and continuous RTL was natural logarithm transformed to satisfy the assumption of normality. Linear regression was used to examine age-adjusted associations between RTL and risk factors among cases and controls separately, then among all subjects controlling for disease status. We used the Wald test to test for additive interactions between disease status and risk factors listed in Table 2. We used generalized linear models to regress the natural logarithm of estrone, estradiol, or estrone sulfate on RTL quartiles, adjusted for age, disease status, laboratory batch, and matching factors. Multivariate-adjusted associations between RTL quartiles and postmenopausal breast cancer risk were examined using conditional

logistic regression to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Covariates included in the multivariate models are listed in Table 3. The *P* values are two sided; *P* values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. We used the SAS Version 9.1 software (SAS Institute).

Results

Our analyses included 1,122 postmenopausal breast cancer cases and 1,147 age-matched controls. The mean \pm SD age of diagnosis for women with breast cancer was 65.7 \pm 6.7 years (range, 44-83 years). Age at blood draw and age at diagnosis were similar among cases and controls as expected from the matched design. On average, women with breast cancer had greater pack-years of smoking and were statistically significantly more likely to have a family history of breast cancer among first-degree relatives (*P* < 0.01). Cases and controls had similar average RTL and BMI (Table 1).

We examined the relationship of RTL with factors hypothesized to influence telomere length (i.e., age, smoking, postmenopausal hormone use, and BMI) and with established breast cancer risk factors (Table 2). Of the factors hypothesized to affect telomere length, age

Table 3. Association between RTL and breast cancer risk

RTL	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	OR (95% CI)*	OR (95% CI) [†]
4th quartile	221 (24.7)	223 (24.3)	1.00	1.00
3rd quartile	209 (23.3)	228 (24.9)	0.95 (0.70-1.28)	0.95 (0.69-1.30)
2nd quartile	243 (27.1)	235 (25.6)	1.14 (0.81-1.59)	1.16 (0.81-1.65)
1st quartile	223 (24.9)	231 (25.2)	1.17 (0.80-1.73)	1.25 (0.83-1.88)
			<i>P</i> _{trend} = 0.32	<i>P</i> _{trend} = 0.20
Above median	430 (48.0)	451 (49.2)	1.00	1.00
Below median	466 (52.0)	466 (50.8)	1.19 (0.93-1.53)	1.23 (0.94-1.60)

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from conditional logistic regression analysis adjusted for matching factors (age, fasting status, recent postmenopausal hormone use, date and time of blood draw).

[†]Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from conditional logistic regression additionally adjusted for smoking status at blood draw, age at menarche, BMI at blood draw, weight gain from age 18 y until blood draw, age at first birth and parity, family history of breast cancer, history of benign breast disease, age at menopause, duration of postmenopausal hormone use.

showed a statistically significant inverse relationship with RTL. No significant associations were observed between RTL and smoking, duration of postmenopausal hormone use, or BMI. Statistically significant inverse associations were observed between RTL and plasma estrone ($P = 0.02$) and estradiol ($P = 0.02$) among subjects with measured hormone levels. Weight gain since age 18 years, age at menarche, age at first birth, parity, age at menopause, family history of breast cancer, and personal history of benign breast disease were not associated with RTL. Some evidence of heterogeneity by disease status was observed for parity ($P = 0.05$), with a suggestive inverse association with RTL among cases ($P = 0.08$) but not controls ($P = 0.33$).

We also investigated the relationship between RTL and postmenopausal breast cancer risk (Table 3). We did not observe a significant increase in breast cancer risk among women with shorter RTL. After adjustment for known breast cancer risk factors, women with an RTL below the median were at a nonsignificant elevated risk of postmenopausal breast cancer compared with women with an RTL above the median (odds ratio, 1.23; 95% confidence interval, 0.94-1.60). With increasing quartiles of RTL, a nonsignificant inverse trend was observed with postmenopausal breast cancer risk ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.20$). Results were similar upon division of RTL into deciles (data not shown). No statistically significant associations or trends were observed between RTL and breast cancer risk when analyses were stratified by family history of breast cancer or ER/PR status (data not shown).

Discussion

We examined whether excessive telomere shortening may lead to breast cancer by investigating the relationship between RTL and breast cancer risk in a large breast cancer case-control study nested within the prospective Nurses' Health Study. We found a nonsignificant 25% elevation in postmenopausal breast cancer risk among women in the shortest quartile of RTL compared with women in the longest quartile. This is somewhat comparable with a case-control study of high-risk sister sets, which reported a nonsignificant 34% increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer among women in the shortest versus longest quartile of RTL. However, the authors did not observe a consistent trend. Instead the association of telomere length with breast cancer risk, although still not statistically significant, seemed predominantly in premenopausal women (9). All women in our study were postmenopausal at diagnosis, limiting the generalizability of our results to postmenopausal women.

Telomere length did not differ between 123 untreated newly diagnosed breast cancer cases and 108 age- and ethnicity-matched controls in a small UK study (11), whereas a Swedish case-control study observed unexpected associations with increased breast cancer risk and decreased survival associated with longer RTL (10). The potential for systematic measurement bias of RTL is of some concern in the Swedish study as DNA was isolated from granulocytes in one third of control subjects, whereas buffy coat DNA was isolated from cases and the remainder of controls. Within the same individual, granulocytes may have telomeres as much as ~2 to

3 kb shorter than lymphocyte telomeres up until age 60 years (16). As the majority of controls in the Swedish study were age <60 years, the inclusion of short telomere measurements from granulocytes may have created a spurious positive association between RTL and breast cancer risk.

We also assessed the relationship between RTL and several known breast cancer risk factors. Other than the statistically significant inverse association with age at blood draw ($P_{\text{trend}} < 0.01$), most of the established breast cancer risk factors were not significantly associated with RTL. Inverse associations between RTL and estradiol and estrone hormone levels reached statistical significance. These associations seemed stronger in cases than in controls, although no significant heterogeneity was observed by disease status ($P \geq 0.23$). When cases diagnosed within the first 2 years of follow-up were excluded, we no longer observed inverse trends with RTL (data not shown), suggesting a potential effect of underlying disease. Greater local concentration of estrogens in cancerous versus normal breast tissue (17) could have subtly increased peripheral plasma concentrations resulting in the observed associations. One study showed that estradiol up-regulates telomerase expression *in vitro* (18), but how this translates to the *in vivo* relationship between telomere length and plasma hormone levels among postmenopausal women has not been explored.

Besides being the largest study to date, our analyses benefit from the nested case-control design. In addition to drawing cases and controls from a well-characterized relatively homogeneous population limiting selection bias, the collection of blood specimens occurred before breast cancer diagnosis. This reduces the potential of generating invalid risk estimates due to the limitations of retrospective case-control studies, such as the cancer treatment and/or the disease itself influencing the phenotype of interest, i.e., telomere length. Furthermore, given that telomere length has been positively associated with survival (19), cancer patients with shorter telomere lengths may die or be too ill to participate in a case-control study resulting in a case group with a disproportionate number of individuals with longer telomeres. The number of deaths attributed to breast cancer ($n = 29$) was not sufficient to investigate the relationship between RTL and breast cancer survival.

We used an economical real-time PCR-based method in a high-throughput setting to measure RTL in DNA derived from peripheral blood leukocytes. Although this does not provide an absolute measurement of telomere length, RTLs estimated by this method correlate well with telomere restriction fragment lengths produced by the Southern blot assay (13). An advantage of the PCR-based assay over the telomere restriction fragment assay is that it does not measure subtelomeric DNA, which can introduce up to 2 kb in variation between individuals (13). In our study, we observed a statistically significant inverse correlation with age, giving further assurance that the real-time PCR method provides a biologically meaningful measure of telomere length. We cannot be certain that RTL measured in blood reflects telomere length in breast tissue. However, statistically significant correlations found between leukocytes telomere length and other tissues from the same individual suggest blood serves as an adequate proxy for nonmalignant breast tissue (20).

In summary, we did not observe a significant elevation in postmenopausal breast cancer risk associated with shorter telomere lengths. Our data provide little support for an important role of telomere crisis as a crucial factor in breast carcinogenesis among postmenopausal women. Additional prospective studies are needed to confirm our finding as well as to explore the relationship between RTL, premenopausal breast cancer risk, and breast cancer survival.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jiali Han, Heather Eliassen, and Sharon Savage for their insightful comments, Pati Soule for laboratory technical assistance, Carolyn Guo for programming assistance, the laboratory of the Core Genotyping Facility at the N.C.I. for DNA standardization, and the Nurses' Health study participants for their continuing cooperation.

References

1. Stewart SA, Weinberg RA. Telomeres: cancer to human aging. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2006;22:531–57.
2. Allsopp RC, Vaziri H, Patterson C, et al. Telomere length predicts replicative capacity of human fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992;89:10114–8.
3. Shay JW, Wright WE. Telomerase activity in human cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol* 1996;8:66–71.
4. Wu X, Amos CI, Zhu Y, et al. Telomere dysfunction: a potential cancer predisposition factor. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1211–8.
5. Broberg K, Bjork J, Paulsson K, Hoglund M, Albin M. Constitutional short telomeres are strong genetic susceptibility markers for bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:1263–71.
6. McGrath M, Wong JY, Michaud D, Hunter DJ, De Vivo I. Telomere length, cigarette smoking, and bladder cancer risk in men and women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:815–9.
7. Garcia M, Jemal A, Ward EM, et al. *Global Cancer Facts & Figures 2007*. Atlanta (GA); 2007.
8. Chin K, de Solorzano CO, Knowles D, et al. *In situ* analyses of genome instability in breast cancer. *Nat Genet* 2004;36:984–8.
9. Shen J, Terry MB, Gurvich I, Liao Y, Senie RT, Santella RM. Short telomere length and breast cancer risk: a study in sister sets. *Cancer Res* 2007;67:5538–44.
10. Svenson U, Nordfjall K, Stegmayr B, et al. Breast cancer survival is associated with telomere length in peripheral blood cells. *Cancer Res* 2008;68:3618–23.
11. Barwell J, Pangon L, Georgiou A, et al. Is telomere length in peripheral blood lymphocytes correlated with cancer susceptibility or radiosensitivity? *Br J Cancer* 2007;97:1696–700.
12. Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, et al. Reproducibility and validity of self-reported menopausal status in a prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1987;126:319–25.
13. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;30:e47.
14. Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Manson JE, et al. Plasma sex steroid hormone levels and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998;90:1292–9.
15. Eliassen AH, Missmer SA, Tworoger SS, Hankinson SE. Endogenous steroid hormone concentrations and risk of breast cancer: Does the association vary by a woman's predicted breast cancer risk? *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:1823–30.
16. Rufer N, Brummendorf TH, Kolvraa S, et al. Telomere fluorescence measurements in granulocytes and T lymphocyte subsets point to a high turnover of hematopoietic stem cells and memory T cells in early childhood. *J Exp Med* 1999;190:157–67.
17. van Landeghem AA, Poortman J, Nabuurs M, Thijssen JH. Endogenous concentration and subcellular distribution of estrogens in normal and malignant human breast tissue. *Cancer Res* 1985;45:2900–6.
18. Kyo S, Takakura M, Kanaya T, et al. Estrogen activates telomerase. *Cancer Res* 1999;59:5917–21.
19. Bakaysa SL, Mucci LA, Slagboom PE, et al. Telomere length predicts survival independent of genetic influences. *Aging Cell* 2007;6:769–74.
20. Friedrich U, Griese E, Schwab M, Fritz P, Thon K, Klotz U. Telomere length in different tissues of elderly patients. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2000;119:89–99.